1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9			
10	MATTHEW KATZ,	CASE NO. C22-5040JLR	
11	Plaintiff,	MINUTE ORDER	
12	V.		
13	DAVID KATZ,		
14	Defendant.		
15	The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable		
16	James L. Robart:		
17	Before the court is pro se Plaintiff Matthew Katz's application to proceed with his		
18	appeal in forma pauperis ("IFP"). (IFP App. (Dkt. # 54).) The Federal Rules of		
19	Appellate Procedure provide that:		
20	a party who was permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court		
21	action may proceed on appeal in authorization, unless:	i forma pauperis without further	
22			

1 2	(A)	the district court certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith or finds that the party is not otherwise entitled to proceed in forma pauperis and states in writing its reasons for the certification or	
3		finding; or	
4	(B)	a statute provides otherwise.	
5	Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3).		
	Here,	Mr. Katz was granted leave to proceed IFP in this matter (see 2/2/22 Order	
6	(Dkt. # 4)) and the court finds that (1) the appeal is taken in good faith, (2) Mr. Katz		
7	remains entitled to proceed IFP, and (3) no statute prohibits Mr. Katz from proceeding		
8	IFP on appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). As a result, Mr. Katz was not required to		
9	file a new IFP application in order to continue his IFP status on appeal. Because Mr.		
0	Katz remains entitled to proceed IFP on appeal, the court STRIKES Mr. Katz's IFP		
11	application (Dkt. # 54) as moot.		
12	Filed and entered this 9th day of January, 2023.		
13		RAVI SUBRAMANIAN	
4		Clerk of Court	
15		s/ Ashleigh Drecktrah	
16		Deputy Clerk	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			